



## Erratum

# A 'Multiple Lenses' Approach to Policy Change: The Case of Tobacco Policy in the UK

Paul Cairney

*British Politics* (2007) 2, 295. doi:10.1057/palgrave.bp.4200061

**Correction to:** *British Politics* (2007) 2, 45–68, doi:10.1057/palgrave.bp.4200039

On page 49 of the above article, an incorrect version of Table 1 was displayed. The correct version appears below.

**Table 1** Contrasting narratives of tobacco policy change

	<i>Dominance narrative</i>	<i>Incremental narrative</i>
Post-war policy change (until the early 2000s) was:	Limited; minimal changes mediated and exaggerated by the tobacco industry	Significant, with gradual steps up to the 1970s accelerated from the 1980s
The tobacco policy network was relatively:	Closed and dominated by tobacco interests	Open to health and scientific interests
Voluntary agreements on tobacco represent:	The <i>appearance</i> of policy change without actual <i>enforcement</i>	A profound signal of intent, with legislation to follow if unsuccessful
The move from a Conservative to Labour government in 1997:	Demonstrates inertia and the difference between commitments made in opposition and actions when in government	Represents a greater commitment to, and acceleration of, tobacco control
Recent legislation represents:	A sea-change in policy and a challenge to vested interests and inertia in government	A logical progression, consistent with existing policies